Fuck Content

MICHAEL ROCK / 2009

#FuckContent #MichaelRock #Article #2009 #DesignAuthor

#Paragraph1

In Designer as Author I argued that we are insecure about the value of our work. We are envious of the power, social position and cachet that artists and authors seem to command. By declaring ourselves "designer/authors" we hope to garner similar respect. Our deep-seated anxiety has motivated a movement in design that values origination of content over manipulation of content. #DesignerAsAuthor #Origin>Manipulation #DesignAnxiety

#Paragraph2

Designer as Author was an attempt to recuperate the act of design itself as essentially linguistic—a vibrant, evocative language. However, it has often been read as a call for designers to generate content: in effect, to become designers and authors, not designers as authors. While I am all for more authors, that was not quite the point I wanted to make.

#DesignersAndAuthors #ContentGeneration #Error

#Paragraph3

The problem is one of content. The misconception is that without deep content, design is reduced to pure style, a bag of dubious tricks. In graphic-design circles, form-follows-function is reconfigured as form-follows-content. If content is the source of form, always preceding it and imbuing it with meaning, form without content (as if that were even possible) is some kind of empty shell.

#EmptyShell #DubiousTrick

#Paragraph4

The apotheosis of this notion, repeated ad nauseum (still!), is Beatrice Warde's famous Crystal Goblet metaphor, which asserts that design (the glass) should be a transparent vessel for content (the wine). Anyone who favored the ornate or the bejeweled was a knuckle-dragging oaf. Agitators on both sides of the ideological spectrum took up the debate: minimalists embraced it as a manifesto; maximalists decried it as aesthetic fascism. Neither camp

questioned the basic, implicit premise: it's all about the wine.

#NotionApothesis #Nauseum #BeatriceWarde #Crystralgoblet #KnuckleDraggingOaf #AestheticFascism #It'sAllAboutTheWine

#Paragraph5

This false dichotomy has circulated for so long that we have started to believe it ourselves. It has become a central tenet of design education and the benchmark against which all design is judged. We seem to accept the fact that developing content is more essential than shaping it, that good content is the measure of good design.

#GoodContentIsGoodDesign #CirculationBias

#Paragraph6

Back when Paul Rand wrote "There is no such thing as bad content, only bad form," I remember being intensely annoyed. I took it as an abdication of a designer's responsibility to meaning. Over time, I have come to read it differently: he was not defending hate speech or schlock or banality; he meant that the designer's purview is to shape, not to write. But that shaping itself is a profoundly affecting form. (Perhaps this is the reason that modern designers—Rand, Munari, Leoni—always seem to end their careers designing children's books. The children's book is the purest venue of the designer/author because the content is negligible and the evocative potential of the form unlimited.)

#PaulRand #ShapeDon'tWrite #HateSpeech #NegligibleContent #UnlimitedPotential #EndCareer #ContentIsKing #VisualStorytelling #LinguisticDesign

#Paragraph7

So what else is new? This seems to be a rather mundane point, but for some reason we don't really believe it. We don't believe shaping is enough. So to bring design out from under the thumb of content we must go one step further and observe that treatment is, in fact, a kind of text itself, as complex and referential as any traditional understanding of content.

#ShapingIsEnough #UnderTheThumb

#Paragraph8

A director can be the esteemed auteur of a film he didn't write, score, edit or shoot. What makes a Hitchcock film a Hitchcock film is not the story but a consistency of style, which winds intact through different technologies, plots,

actors, and time periods like a substance of its own. Every film is about filmmaking. His great genius is that he is able to mold the form into his style in a genuinely unique and entertaining way. The meaning of his work is not in the story but in the storytelling.

#HitchcockFilm #StyleMatters #StyleConsistency #StyleVsSubstance #FunctionOverForm

#Paragraph9

Designers also trade in storytelling. The elements we must master are not the content narratives but the devices of the telling: typography, line, form, color, contrast, scale, weight. We speak through our assignment, literally between the lines.

#DesignDevices #BetweenTheLines #HistoryOfForm #IndexicalForm #PureForm #DesignEvolution

#Paragraph10

The span of graphic design is not a history of concepts but of forms. Form has evolved dramatically from one year to the next, and suggests a profession that continually revises and reshapes the world through the way it is rendered. Stellar examples of graphic design, design that changes the way we look at the world, are often found in service of the most mundane content: an ad for ink, cigarettes, sparkplugs or machinery. Think of Piet Zwart's catalogues for electrical cable; or the travel posters of Cassandre or Matter; or the New Wave work of Weingart, Greiman and Freidman; or the punk incitations of Jamie Reid, in which the manipulation of form has an essential, even transformative, meaning.

#Mundane #Piet Zwart's #WeingarGreiman&Freidman #Jamie Reid #Transformative #VisualAuteur

#Paragraph11

At a 1962 conference at the Museum of Modern Art, conservative art critic Hilton Kramer denounced Pop Art as "indistinguishable from advertising art" because "Pop Art does not tell us what it feels like to be living through the present moment of civilization. Its social effect is simply to reconcile us to a world of commodities, banalities and vulgarities." But perhaps the content of graphic design is exactly that: an evocation of "what it feels like to be living through the present moment of civilization," with all its "commodities, banalities and vulgarities." How else can we discuss the content of a typeface or why the typography of a surfing magazine suddenly becomes relevant? Or how a series of made-up or 'self-initiated' posters—already a

medium of dubious functionality— can end up on the wall of a major design museum? Work must be saying something, which is different than being about something. #1962 #MOMA #PopArtVsDesign #HiltonKramer #DesignInfluence #WolrdOfVulgarity #BanalToBeautiful #SelfInitiated #Rhetoric

#Paragraph12

Because the nature of the designed object is limited, individual objects are rarely substantial enough to contain fully rendered ideas. Ideas develop over many projects, spanning years. Form itself is indexical. We are intimately, physically connected to the work we produce, and it is inevitable that our work bears our stamp. The choice of projects in each designer's oeuvre lays out a map of interests and proclivities. (I use the singular designer in the categorical sense, not the individual.) The way those projects are parsed out, disassembled, reorganized and rendered reveals a philosophy, an aesthetic position, an argument and a critique.

#OeuvreMapping #IndexicalForm

#Paragraph13

This deep connection to making also positions design in a modulating role between the user and the world. By manipulating form, design reshapes that essential relationship. Form is replaced by exchange. The things we make negotiate a relationship over which we have a profound control.

#RealWorldDesign #DesignControl

#Paragraph14

The trick is to find ways to speak through treatment, via a range of rhetorical devices— from the written to the visual to the operational—to make those proclamations as poignant as possible, and to return consistently to central ideas, to re-examine and re-express. In this way we build a body of work, and from that body of work emerges a singular message, maybe even what it feels like to be living now. As a popular film critic once wrote, "A movie is not what it is about, it's how it is about it." Likewise, for us, our What is a How. Our content is, perpetually, Design itself. #How #ContentIsDesign #OneMessage

Published in Multiple Signatures: #OnDesigners, Authors, ReadersandUsers (#New York: #RizzoliInternational, #2013)

#Misunderstandings #Misconceptions #Mistaken

- It has often been read as a call for designers to generate content:
- The misconception is that without deep content, design is reduced to pure style, a bag of dubious tricks.
- He was not defending hate speech or schlock or banality; he meant that the designer's purview is to shape, not to write.
- The span of graphic design is not a history of concepts but of forms
- The meaning of his work is not in the story but in the storytelling.
- Stellar examples of graphic design, design that changes the way we look at the world, are often found in service of the most mundane content

#OpinionsAboutDesigners #WeAre

- We are insecure about the value of our work.
- We are envious of the power
- By declaring ourselves "designer/authors" we hope to garner similar respect.
- Our deep-seated anxiety
- Anyone who favored the ornate or the bejeweled was a knuckle-dragging oaf
- This false dichotomy has circulated for so long that we have started to believe it ourselves.
- We seem to accept the fact that developing content is more essential than shaping it, that good content is the measure of good design.
- But that shaping itself is a profoundly affecting form
- e don't believe shaping is enough
- A director can be the esteemed auteur of a film he didn't write
- What makes a Hitchcock film a Hitchcock film is not the story but a consistency of style,
- We speak through our assignment
- Work must be saying something, which is different than being about something.
- We are intimately, physically connected to the work we produce, and it is inevitable that our work bears our stamp.
- we make negotiate a relationship over which we have a profound control.
- we build a body of work, and from that body of work emerges a singular message
- our What is a How. Our content is, perpetually, Design itself.

#Speculation

- form without content (as if that were even possible)
- Perhaps this is the reason that modern designers—Rand, Munari, Leoni—always seem to end their careers designing children's books
- But perhaps the content of graphic design is exactly that: an evocation of "what it feels like to be living through the present moment of civilization,"

#Conclusion

• The trick is to find ways to speak through treatment

#Form

- Form-follows-function
- · Form-follows-content.
- Content is the source of form
- But that shaping itself is a profoundly affecting form
- · Form has evolved dramatically from one year to the next
- The manipulation of form has an essential, even transformative, meaning
- By manipulating form, design reshapes that essential relationship
- · Form itself is indexical
- Form is replaced by exchange

#Quotes #Descriptions #References

- "There is no such thing as bad content, only bad form,"
- "indistinguishable from advertising art" because "Pop Art does not tell us what it feels like to be living through the present moment of civilization. Its social effect is simply to reconcile us to a world of commodities, banalities and vulgarities."
- "A movie is not what it is about, it's how it is about it."

#Metaphor #FiguresOfSpeech

- Design (the glass) should be a transparent vessel for content (the wine)
- · treatment is, in fact, a kind of text itself

#CombinationWords #Descriptive

- Evocative language
- Aesthetic fascism
- Crystal Goblet
- Implicit premise
- Intensely annoyed
- Modern Art
- Conservative Critic

#Designers #Creatives #Filmmakers #People

- Michael Rock
- Beatrice Warde
- Paul Rand
- Hitchcock
- Piet Zwart's
- · Weingart, Greiman and Freidman
- · Iamie Reid
- Hilton Kramer

#ToolsOfGraphicDesign

- typography
- line
- form
- color
- contrast
- scale weight

Analysis

Using Hashtags as a form of metadata. The article is structured in small paragraphs and I used hashtags as a descriptive device that captures the theme and topics talked about by the author in that given text. The essay's structure is loosely argumentative, building progressively from theoretical critique to practical implications. These hashtags summarize each paragraph - sometimes subjects that are directly given in the text and sometimes the implicit hidden meaning behind the text.

It acts as a way to organize its ideas into thematic snapshots. Each hashtag serves as a descriptor, summarizing topics or underlying messages in a format that is easy to access and understand at a glance. This approach serves as both a navigational tool and a layer of interpretation, breaking down the complexity of Rock's essay and making its nuanced arguments more approachable. Further, I restructured the content and its constituent pieces into smaller, more unrelated hashtags. Starting with similar themes of arguments and examples like misconceptions, opinions, speculations and conclusions. The metadata goes further into detail with topics like figures of speech, and more quantitative data like dates, quotes, etc.

When restructured, one can see how the flow of the argument that the author tries to put forth is lost in the similar tone and structure of the sentences. It also serves as a tool to quickly glance over the kind of content the author is writing about to get a general idea of the article's theme. This is precisely how hashtags are used, perceived and interacted with in today's world. They are the manual way of creating metadata for your quote/image/artwork. People can easily categorize their own thoughts, images, work, etc into a big pool of content and give further meaning and give universally understood associations.

References:

Rock, M. (2009) 'Fuck Content', Multiple Signatures: On Designers, Authors, Readers and Users, New York: Rizzoli, pp. 45–56, 91–95. Available at: https://2x4.org/ideas/2009/fuck-content/